



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/867,197

05/29/2001

Matthew W. Hartley

6169-250

5893

7590

05/03/2004

Gregory A. Nelson

Akerman Senterfitt

222 Lakeview Avenue, Four Floor

P.O. Box 3188

West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188

EXAMINER

MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2655

3

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/867,197

Applicant(s)

HARTLEY ET AL.

Examiner

Susan McFadden

Art Unit

2655

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 May 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (6,208,965) in view of Waibel et al. (5,712,957).

In regard to claims 1,5,6,9,10,11,15,16,19, and 20, Brown et al. show in Figure 4, a system, computer readable storage, and method for speech recognition comprising: determining a recognition result for received user speech comprising text and a score (item 30), comparing said score (claimed conditional probability) to a threshold (col. 11), and if the score does not exceed the threshold, presenting the user with at least one alternate word candidate or character corresponding to the recognition result (item 65). Brown et al. show a confusability matrix but do not specifically show that the score is a confidence score. Waibel et al. show a system and method for a speech recognizer, which includes determining confidence scores, and alternate word lists (Abstract, col. 5-6). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine these systems because they would provide a system that generates a more accurate alternate word list (col. 2, ln 1-5).

In regard to claims 2 and 12, Brown et al. show the system discussed above but do not specifically show that the user can specify one of the alternate words as the

Art Unit: 2655

correct recognition result. Waibel et al. show that the user can pick the correct recognition result from the displayed results (col. 2, ln 1-5). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine these systems because they would provide a system that generates a more accurate alternate word list.

In regard to claims 3,4,13, and 14, Brown et al. show the system discussed above which shows that the alternate word candidate can be phonetically similar to recognition result (col. 11-12, confusion sets contain phonetically similar results).

In regard to claims 7 and 17, Brown et al. and Waibel show the system discussed above but do not specifically show that the result is displayed in graphical format. The Examiner takes Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would know how to change a written result or table into a graphical display. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this features because it provides the system with a more user-friendly output or display.

In regard to claims 8 and 18, Brown et al. show the system discussed above which includes an interface (Fig. 4, item 15). This interface could inherently present the alternate word candidate to the user.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 703-308-6693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Susan McFadden
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2655

April 28, 2004